

Research Article

Opinion of farmers and the effects of inorganic fertilizer on soil characteristics and production of egg plant (solanum melongena I.) in Warawa area of Kano state

^{*1}Lawan S.A, ¹Aminu Y, ¹Naziru AB, ²Muazu J and ³Shehu Y

¹Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts, Sciences and Remedial Studies, Kano ²Department of Geography, College of Arts, Sciences and Remedial Studies, Kano ³Department of Geography, Federal College of Education, Kano

*Corresponding author E- mail: lawansaniahmed@yahoo.com

Accepted 28 December 2013

Abstract

The research was conducted to evaluate the effects of cultural practices on the cultivation and productivity of *Solanum melongena* in Warawa local government area of Kano State. The research was conducted by designing a questionnaire and distributed to 250 respondents within the study area. The response from the questionnaire indicates that fifty percent of the respondents applied the fertilizer five and or more times during field operation of the eggplant. The quantity of inorganic fertilizer applied differ at each time of application with an increase in quantity from first application as a result of which solidity effect occur which leads to low productivity in the area. The finding of the cultivation shows that, at 0/15kg level of inorganic fertilizer, highest number of leaves, leaf area, shoot height, number and length of branch as well as number of fruits was observed at farmers, site while at experimental site 0.05kg and 0.10kg had the highest. Therefore farmers of Warawa area should maintain 0.15kg level of inorganic fertilizer in order to counteract the problems associated with the cultivation of eggplant in their area.

Keywords: cultural practices, Solanum melongena, cultivation, productivity, Warawa local government

INTRODUCTION

Rapid increase in world population and especially in developing countries brings about increase in food needs and this makes the development of dry season (irrigation) a potentially effective investment to service the basic needs for food and employment in these countries. The development of irrigation in Nigeria was dated by Ibrahim (1991) to the precolonial era when traditional means were used to apply water to land for dry season farming in the northern part of the country. According to Aminu (2006), the production of vegetables such as onion, tomato, pepper under irrigation in northern states of Nigeria has been found to be a lucrative economic activity because of the readymade market available in the vicinity of production area and particularly in the southern states of the country where there has always been demand for them.

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) also known as garden egg, aubergine, brinjal, or Guinea squash, is in the fourth ranked vegetable crops. It is of considerably economic importance in Asia, Africa, and subtropics (India, Central America), but is also grown in some warm temperate regions (Mediterranean area, South of the USA). In 1999, 1.3 million ha were cultivated in the world for a total production of 21.2 million metric tons of which 92.4% of the world production was covered by Asia (F.A.O, 2012).

Although lower than that of tomato, eggplant nutritious value is comparable to other common vegetables. It's fresh

weight is composed of 92.7% moisture, 1.4% protein, 1.3% fibre, 0.3% fat, 0.3% minerals, and the remaining 4% consists of various carbohydrates and vitamins (A and C) (Fuchsia, 2006). Eggplant is susceptible to numerous diseases and parasites, particularly bacterial wilt, *Fusarium* and *Verticillium* wilts, nematodes and insects. It exhibits partial resistance to most of these pathogens, but often at insufficient levels. This crop is highly vulnerable to plant parasitic nematodes especially with *Meloidogyne* spp. or root knot nematodes.

Inorganic fertilizers are chemical products of either minerals or synthetic origin that provide nutrients to stimulate plant growth. Unlike the organic, inorganic fertilizers are usually quick releasing formulas making nutrients rapidly available to plants. Introduction of inorganic fertilizer in the early to mid-20th century was responsible for massive increases in what individual farmers could produce. The major problem was the result of too much application. A plant can only utilize so much during its cycle of growth; the leftovers have the tendency to pollute the environment causing problems. When using inorganic fertilizer, nutrients are immediately available to plants and the exact amount of a given element can be measured before feeding plants; however, commercial fertilizer, particularly nitrogen is easily leached out by rain or irrigation. Regardless of using organic, inorganic fertilizer or a combination of the two it is important to follow the manufacturer's guidelines regarding timing of application, placement of the fertilizer to be used.

Inorganic fertilizers are designed to address the tendency of soils nutrient, which is a very common problem in farms. One distinctive advantage of inorganic fertilizer is it contains all the three (3) major nutrients, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Additionally, this type of fertilizer can provide plants with immediate nutrients supply when the need arises, unlike organic fertilizer that only have a slow release capacity. Inorganic fertilizers work faster and may be utilized in balance of the farms need. They are also less costly than commercial organic fertilizer as well as may be used in concentrated amounts.

The main disadvantage of inorganic fertilizers is that they have acidic content. Acids that is present in inorganic fertilizers such as hydrochloric acid and sulfuric acid leads to high level of soil acidity that could in turn have a destructive effect on nitrogen fixing bacteria. These microorganisms play a major role in the supply of nitrogen needed by growing plants. Another disadvantage is in over applicat0ion of the fertilizer, plants only need certain amount of nutrients that can be absorbed. When the fertilizers are used excessively, the rest of the unused or unabsorbed one has the tendency to travel into ground water due to irrigation and rain. The aim of this study is to find out the opinion of farmers as well as the effects of inorganic fertilizer on soil characteristics and production of eggplant (solanum melongena L.) in Warawa irrigation area of Kano state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

The study was carried out at Warawa village which is located in Warawa Local Government Area of Kano State, with approximate location of latitude 11° 21¹N and longitude 8° 09¹E. The area lies within the tropical continental climatic environment, with a very long period of dry season that lasts between six (6) and eight (8) months (October to April) and a shorter period of rainy season i.e (May to September) with August at the peak period of the rainy season; the main annual rainfall is less than 1,000mm. Both mean daily and mean annual temperature vary. The days are hot due to the intensive solar radiation while nights are usually colder. The months of November to February are usually cold with temperature of less than 24°C, due to the influence of the harmattan winds which originates from the Sahara desert. While other months are moderately hot with a mean monthly temperature range of 27°C to 30°C.

The area is found within the Sudan savannah region which is characteristically a grassland region with scattered trees, which are mostly water-resistant. The availability of grass makes it suitable for cattle rearing and other animal grazing. Over 90% of the inhabitants of the area are farmers cultivating cereals, legumes and vegetable crops. During the dry season, Kura reservoir water is being used to irrigate about 150 ha of smallholder farmlands. The reservoir has a capacity to store 12.5 million cubic meters of water and has the potential to irrigate 400ha of land. The major crops cultivated in the area during the dry season are: pepper, garden egg, tomato, lettuce, maize, potato and melon.

Questionnaire

A structured questionnaire was designed and distributed to 250 farmers within the farmland sites. The questionnaire was divided into four different parts (A, B, C and D). Part A consisted of the bio-data of the respondents, part B the cultural practice in the nursery, part C the cultural practices in the field and part D the productivity. In all the parts different questions were designed to help in finding the effects of cultural practices in the cultivation of *Solanum melongena*, vegetable (egg plant) as well as the cultural practices applied by the farmers.

Soil Sampling

Black *et al.* (1975), Tisdale (1975) and Barrow (1993) stated that the magnitude of variation within the soil determines the intensity with which soil would be sampled to give accurate estimate of some soil characteristics. London (1991) explained that the scale and intensity of survey depends on the variability of the soils and land farms and the proposed land uses. In this regard, for the purpose of this research, the following pattern of soil sampling was adopted.

The two sites of study (farmers and experimental sites) were selected for the study. The soils of the two sites are similar, with similar land forms, geology, land use and vegetation and topography are similar. The main difference is the way each site support the growth and development of vegetable (especially pepper).

Stratified random sampling was used for the purpose of collecting soil samples (Idris, 2010). Ten soil samples were collected from each site which was stratified into ten units base on physiographic and management system, then each unit was randomly sampled. The soil samples were collected using hand shovel from 0 to 15cm. A total of twenty soil samples were collected from both farmers and experimental sites. The soil were dried and crushed with mortar and pestle and pass through a 2mm sieve, the samples were analyzed in the laboratory for their physical and chemical properties [pH (H₂O), pH (Cacl₂), organic matter (OM), total nitrogen (TN), phosphorus (P), exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, Na), exchangeable acid (H+Al), micronutrients (Cu and Zn) and cation exchange capacity (C.E.C)].

Laboratory Technique

Determination of Soil Mechanical Analysis

Hydrometer methods were used 51.0g of air-dried soil which has been passed through a 2-mm sieve was weigh and transferred to a "Mikshake" mix cup. The soil was added with 5.0% sodium hemataphosphate along with 100cc of distilled water. The sample was mixed with a stirring rod and the sample was allowed to settle for 30 minutes.

The soils suspensions were stirred for 15 minutes with the multimix machine. The suspensions were transferred from the cup to the glass cylinder. With the hydrometer in the suspension distilled water was added to the lower blue line. The volume become 1130c, upper line (1250cc) was used. When 100grams were used the hydrometer was removed.

The tops of the cylinder were covered with hand and invert several times until all soils were in suspension. The cylinder was place on flat surface and the times were noted, immediately soil hydrometer was placed into suspension. It has been slide slowly into suspension until hydrometer is floating.

The first reading on the hydrometer was taken at 50 seconds after the cylinder is set down. The hydrometer was removed and temperature of suspension was recorded with a thermometer. After the first hydrometer reading, the suspension was allowed to stand for three (3) hours and the second reading was taken, also the temperature of the suspension was taken. The first reading measures the percentage of silt and clay in suspension. The second reading indicates the percentage of 2 micron (total) clay in the suspension.

Results were corrected to a temperature of 68% Fahrenheit. For every degree over 680 and 0.2 to hydrometer reading before computation, and under 680 subtract 0.2 from hydrometer reading.

Sample Calculation

Given:

1. a. Hydrometer reading at 40 seconds:
b. Temperature at 40 seconds:
2. a. Hydrometer reading at 3 hours:
b. Temperature at 3 hours:
T2

- 3. Temperature correction to be added to hydrometer reading = 0.2 (T-68) where T = degree Fahrenheit.
- 4. Salt correction to be added to hydrometer reading = -2.0

Calculations:

A. Sand = 100 - (H1+0.2(T1-68) - 2.B. clay = (H2+0.2(T2-68) - 2.0)x2C. Silt = 100-(% sand+% clay)

Determination of soil PH in water (H₂O)

Soil to water ratio (1:2.5) was used to determine the soil PH. 20g of air-dry soil (passed 2mm sieve) to 50ml beaker was weighed and 20ml of distilled water was added. The suspension was vigorously stirred several times during the next 30

minutes with a glass rod. The suspension was then allowed to stand undisturbed for about 30 minutes to allow most of the suspended clay to settle out from the suspension. The electrodes of the pH meter were inserted into the partly settled suspension and the pH value was taken within 30 seconds after immersion.

Determination of Soil pH in Calcium Chloride (CaCl₂)

1:1 Soil to solution ratio was used to determine the soil pH. The same procedure as above was employed using CaCl₂ solution, the result was obtained as pH measured in 0.01m CaCl₂.

Determination of Organic Matter

To determine the organic contents of the matter the percentage of organic carbon was determined first and the result multiplied by 1.729. To determine the organic carbon, the following procedure was followed:

- 1. Representative sample was taken, ground grid, and passed through 0.5mm sieve.
- 2. One gram of soil was weighed and place in the 250ml flask.
- 3. Ten mills of 1N K₂Cr₂O₇ solution was pipette accurately into each flask and swirl gently to disperse the soil.
- **4.** Twenty mills Conc. H₂S0₄ was added rapidly from a measuring cylinder. Immediately the flask was swirl gently until soil and reagent are mixed, the swirl vigorously for one minute. The flask was rotated again and allowed to stand on a sheet of asbestos for about 30 minutes.
- **5.** One hundred mills of distilled water was added after standing for 30 minutes and allowed cool again. The suspension was filtered because it was cloudy.
- **6.** Five mills of O- phosphoric acid was added to sharpen the colour change at the end point.
- 7. Three to four drops of incubator were added and filtrated with 0.5N ferrous sulphate solution on a white background. As the point approached the solution took on a greenish cast and then changes to dark green. At this point ferrous sulphate drop was added until the colour changes sharply from blue to red (maroon colour) in reflected light against a white background.
- **8.** The blank determination was made in the same way but without soil to standardize dichromate.
- **9.** The results was calculated using the following formulae:

```
% organic C in soil = (K_2Cr_2O_7 - FeSO_4 \underbrace{0.003x100x(F)}_{\text{\% of air in dry soil}} % of air in dry soil

Where F = correction factor = 1.33

Meq = normality of solution x ml of solution sed

Or

Or

Organic C

Air - dry basis

= \underbrace{(Blank \ titre - actual \ titre) \times 0.3xmx(F)}_{\text{\% of air - dry soil}}

Where F = correction factor = 1.33

M = concentration of FeSO<sub>4</sub>

Percentage organic matter (OM) will be calculated thus:

% OM = % OC x 1.729
```

Determination of Exchangeable Bases

Ammonia saturation method (at pH 7.0) was used to determine the exchangeable bases which include sodium (Na), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Ma). Ten grams of air dried soil sample was weighed into a plastic bottle, 100ml of 1N ammonium acetate solution was added and the content of plastic bottle was shaken for 30 minutes. The mixture was then allowed to settle. Then the supernantant liquid was correctly decanted, as completely as possible through a funnel ad filter paper into a 250ml volumetric flask. The processes were repeated twice, each time decanting the supernatural liquid into the 280ml flask. Finally the volume of flask was added with ammonium acetate solution. However, sodium (Na) and potassium (K) were determined using flame photometer choosing appropriate range of standards from which the sample values were extrapolated while calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) on the other hand were determined by EDTA titration.

Calcium and Magnesium

Twenty mills of the exchangeable base extracts was pipette into a clean conical flask. It drops of ammonium chloride, ammonium hydroxide buffer solution (NH₄O₄ - NH₄Cl) was added and then 2 drops of eriochrome black T was also added then the mixture was filtered against 0.01N EDTA solution until the true blue and point was obtained.

Calculations

Me/100g of Ca & Ma = M1 of EDTA used x normality 0.01 of EDTA 100 x vol. of extracts ML of EDTA used for titration x et

Determination of Exchangeable Acid in Soil

Five grams of air dried soil was weighed into a 50ml centrifuge tube and add 30ml of INKCL the centrifuge was covered tightly with a rubber stopper and shake for 1 hour on a reciprocating shaker. The content was centrifuged at 2,000rpm for 15 minutes. The decant was carefully clear supernatant into a 100ml volumetric flask. Another 30ml of 1N CL was added to the same soil sample and shake for 30 minutes, step two were repeated and clear supernatant were transferred into the same volumetric flask. Step 3 was repeated for the third time and clear supernatant were decanted into the same volumetric flask. The volume was make up to mark with 1N KCL.

Titration for H and Al

Twenty five (ml) of KCL extract was pipette into a 250ml Erlenmeyer flask 100ml of distilled water was approximately added 5 drops of phenolphthalein indicator was added, and the solutions were titrated with 0.01m NaOH to a permanent pink and point with alternate stirring and standing. A few more drops of indicator was added to bring the solution back to the colourless condition and 10ml of NaF solution were added. While, stirring the solution constantly, the solution was titrated with 0.01 HCl until the colour of the solution disappears because the colours does not return within 2 minutes. The milli equivalents of acid used an equal to the amount of exchangeable Al.

The value from the milli equivalent of total acidity was subtracted from the first titration to obtain the milli equivalent of exchangeable H and Al in meg per 100g of soil.

Determination of Total N in Soil

Two grams of soil was weighed into Kjeldahl flask or digestion tube, 20ml of distilled water was added, the flask was swirled for a few minutes and was allowed to stand for 30 minutes. About 3g of catalyst and 20ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ was added. Heat continuously until the water has been removed and fronting has ceased the heat is increased until the digest clears. The mixture was boiled for 5 hours. The heating was regulated during this boiling so that the H₂SO₄ condensed about half way up the neck of the flask or tube the flask is allowed to cool and some distilled water was added slowly with shaking.

Carefully the digest was transferred into another clean flask, all the sand particles were retained in the original digestion flask because sand can cause severe pumping during distilled water for about 4 times and each portion were transformed into the same flask. The mark was made with distilled water.

Ten (ml) of boric acid (H₃BO₃) solution was added into a 500ml Erlenmeyer flask which was then placed under the condenser of the distillation apparatus. The end of the condenser was at about 4cm above the surface of the H₃BO₃ solution 10ml of 40% NaOH was added slowly into 10ml of digest in the flask which has been attached to the distillation apparatus. The temperature was raised until it boils. The condenser kept cool (below 30°C) by allowing sufficient water to flow through and regulate heat to minimize frothing ad prevent suck back. About 5oml distillate was collected and then stopped distillation.

NH₃ liberated were titrated with standard HCl or H₂SO₄. Three drops of indicator were added the colour change at the end point from green to pink.

N constant in the soil was

Where

VD = Volume of digest
N = Normality of acid
TV = Titre value
AD = Liquor or digest

Determination of Available Phosphorus (P)

Bray No 1 method was used to determine available phosphorus (P). To do that, 1g of air dried soil was weighed into a 15ml centrifuge tube, 7ml of the extraction solution were added and shaken for 1 minute o a mechanical shaken and centrifuge the suspension at 2,000 rpm for 15 minutes. The solution was transferred into an acid washed volumetric flask.

The phosphorus content was determined in the extract calorimetrically using ascorbic acid molybdate blue because it is recommended for analysis of P in plant extracts.

Determination of Cu and Zn

Hydrochloric acid extraction method was used, below is the procedure followed:

- 1. A 5g of 2mm sieved soil was weighed into 100ml plastic bottle
- 2. Fifty mills of 0.1m HCl was added and shaken for 30 minutes
- 3. The solution was filtered through No 42 filter paper or centrifuge
- 4. The Cu and Zn were determined on an atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

Determination of CEC

The C.E.C of the soils was determined by summing the value of exchangeable base and that of acid.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The bio-data of the respondents shows that 63% of them were between the ages of 25-50 years, majority being farmers (77.6%) (Table 1 Part A). Forty three point six (43.6%) of the respondents have been practicing the exercise for over 5 years.

Seedlings were raised by ground nursery method by almost 98% of the farmers. All the respondents (100%) applied fertilizer in the nursery at time of sowing. Sheep dung was used by 51.2% of the respondents, 48.8% use cow dung (organic fertilizer) while the inorganic type that is (N.P.K 15:15:15 (Golden) is applied only 1 time during the pepper nursery operation. They all employed cultural practices of weeding (mechanically) and irrigation (surface irrigation - basin type) (Table 1 part B).

Most of the farmers in Warawa area transplanted their seedlings at 7 weeks after sowing (WAS), 59.2% of the respondents apply inorganic fertilizer (N.P.K 15:15:15) to the pepper at an interval of 3 weeks after transplanting and 40.8% apply at 4 weeks (Table 1 part C). Fifty percent of the respondents applied the fertilizer 5 or more times during the field operation of the pepper (Table 1 part C-4). The quantity of inorganic fertilizer applied differs at each time of application with an increase in quantity from 1st application (Table 1 Part C).

Weeds were controlled through mechanical method (100% response) while pests were controlled by chemical method with endosulfan pesticide type being frequently used (74.4% response) by spraying techniques at 4 weeks intervals (Table 1Part C).

Pepper matures at 12 weeks (3 months) after transplanting when the colour of the fruits turn red/yellow (Komolafe and Joy, 1999). The greatest yield (%) in Warawa area was 40-50 bags/ha which shows a decrease compared to the yield of 5-10 years back (Table 1, Part D).

The highest number of fruits harvested per bed, the greatest diameter of fruit and the greatest weight of fruits per bed observed were in the fertilizer treated plants which differ from the quantity applied by the farmers with an increase in quantity from first application progressively as a result of which sodicity effect occur which lead to low productivity and dryness of the plants after transplanting in the area. This was similarly observed by many workers, Osman and George (1984) reported an increase in study of the effect of mineral nutrition on seed yield and quality of sweet pepper plant. Gill et al (1974) reported a considerable increase in number of fruits per plants as well as seed yield. Waknade and Morey (1982) similarly observed an increase in yield in field grown chilies upon application of phosphate.

Table 1. Response from Questionnaire

Part A: Bio-Data

Part A: Bio-Data					
Age of the respondents (in	years)				
Age (years)		Frequency		Percentage	
25 – 30		57		22.8	
30 – 40		75		30.0	
40 – 50		83		33.2	
50 and above		35		14.0	
		250		100	
Calculation					
e.g <u>57</u> x 100	= 0.228x100	= 22.8%			
250					
Profession of the respond	lents				
Profession		Frequency		Percentage	
Farming		194		77.6	
Civil Servant		56		22.4	
Others		0		0.0	
Others		250		100	
Duration/Period in pract	ticing the cultivation			100	
Period (years)	nong the cultivatio	Frequency		Percentage (%)	
0 – 5		20		8.0	
5 – 10		109		43.6	
5 – 10 10 – 15		80		43.6 32.0	
Above 15		41		16.4	
Bout B. Cultural Duration	a tha Niversan	250		100	
Part B: Cultural Practice i	n the Nursery				
Raising of seedling		Fraguenay		Doroontogo	
Method		Frequency		Percentage	
Ground nursery		245		98.0	
Shallow box nursery		5		2.0	
Others		0		0.0	
		250		100	
Do you apply fertilizer at	time of sowing				
-		Frequency		Percentage	
Yes		250		100	
No		0		0	
		250		100	
Type of fertilizer applied at t	me of sowing				
Organic				rganic	
Туре	Freq.	%	Туре	Freq.	%
Sheep dung	128	51.2	N.P.K 20:0:0	19	9.0
Cow dung	122	48.8	N.P.K 15:15:15	193	91.0
Poultry	0	0	N.P.K 20:10:-5	0	-
Green Manure	0	0	Urea 46%	0	-
	250	100		212	100
Quantity of inorganic fertiliz	er applied				
Quantity (kg/bed)		Frequency		Percentage	
0.20kg/bed		0		0%	
0.15kg/bed		0		0%	
0.10kg/bed		05		2.4	
0.05kg/bed		207		97.6%	
Total		212		100%	
Number of times applied	l durina seedlina ra	aising			
No. of times	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Frequency		Percentage	
1 time		160		75.5%	
2 times		52		24.5%	
3 times		0		0.0%	
Total		212		100%	
Cultural practice in the nu	irsery				
Туре		Frequency		Percentage	
Weeding	<u> </u>	250	<u> </u>	100%	
Irrigation		250		100%	
Pesticide		-		0.0%	
1 Colloide					

Part C: Cultural Practices in the Field

Number of weeks seedlings transplanted after sowing

Weeks after sowing (WAS)	Frequency	Percentage		
5 WAS	13	5.2%		
6 WAS	67	26.8%		
7 WAS	170	68.0%		
Total	250	100%		
Type of irrigation fertilizer applied	to the crop			
Туре	Frequency	Percentage		
N.P.K 20:10:10 (Maishaho)	85	34.0%		
N.P.K 15:15:15 (Golden)	165	66.0%		
N.P.K 20:15:-5 (Gamji)	0			
Urea 46% N₂O (Sulpha)	0	0.0%		
Total	250	100%		
ntervals of fertilizer application:				
Interval (weeks)	Frequency	Percentage		
2	0	0%		
3	148	59.2%		
4	102	40.8%		
Total	250	100%		
Number of times fertilizer applied		10078		
No. of times	Frequency	Percentage		
2 times	0	0		
2 times 3 times	0	0		
4 times	32	12.8%		
5 times	127	50.8%		
		36.4%		
More than 5	91 250			
Total		100%		
Quantity (bags of 50kg) of fertilize		umbar of application		
Quantity (bag of 50kg)	1 st 2 nd	umber of application 3 rd 4 th 5 th		
Per hectare		3 4 5		
1 bag (50kg)/ha	250 250	450		
2 bags (100kg)/ha		150		
3 bags (150kg)/ha		100 102 68		
4 bags (200kg)/ha		148 182		
Method of weeds control				
Method	Frequency	Percentage		
Chemical	0	0%		
Mechanical	250	100%		
	250	100%		
		100%		
Do you use chemical method of p	est control?			
	est control? Frequency	Percentage		
Yes	est control? Frequency 250	Percentage 100%		
Yes No	est control? Frequency 250 0	Percentage 100% 0%		
Yes No Total	est control? Frequency 250	Percentage 100%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use	est control? Frequency 250 0 250	Percentage 100% 0% 100%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type	est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan	est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best	Est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan	est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total	Est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total	Est control? Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr	Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4%		
Yes No Total Total Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr	Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10 152	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr	Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10 152 88	Percentage 100% 0% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8% 35.2%		
Yes No Total Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr 70ml/ltr	Frequency 250 0 250 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10 152 88 250	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr 70ml/ltr nterval of application (Pesticides)	Frequency 250 0 250 186 64 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10 152 88 250	Percentage 100% 0% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8% 35.2% 100%		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr 70ml/ltr nterval of application (Pesticides) Interval (weeks)	### Frequency Frequency	Percentage 100% 0% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8% 35.2% 100% Percentage		
Yes No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr 70ml/ltr Interval of application (Pesticides) Interval (weeks) 2 weeks	Frequency 250 0 250 Frequency 186 64 0 250 Frequency 10 152 88 250 Frequency 11	Percentage 100% 0% 100% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8% 35.2% 100% Percentage 4.4%		
No Total Type of chemical/pesticide use Type Endosulfan Best Avesthrin Total Quantity (ml/ltr) applied/sprayed Quantity (ml/ltr) 50ml/ltr 60ml/ltr 70ml/ltr nterval of application (Pesticides) Interval (weeks)	### Frequency Frequency	Percentage 100% 0% 100% Percentage 74.4% 25.6% 0% 100% Percentage 4% 60.8% 35.2% 100% Percentage		

100%

Part D: Productivity

Quantity (bags/ha) of pepper harvest

Quantity (bag/ha)	Frequency	Percentage
20 – 30	23	9.2%
30 – 40	64	25.2%
40 – 50	140	56.0%
Above 50	24	9.6%
	250	100%
Comparison between the present	yield and 5-10 years back	
	Frequency	Percentage
Increase	77	30.8%
Decrease	173	69.2%

250

- 1. Reasons for the change in yield.
- i. In adequate fertilizer
- ii. Excessive dryness

Ways of improving the productivity of pepper

	Frequency	Percentage
Land expansion	17	6.8%
Supplying more fertilizer	48	19.2%
Giving loan to the farmers	185	74.0%
•	250	100%

Table 2. Physico-chemical parameters of the soil

Soil variable	Farme	ers site	Experimental site		t-test value	Table value
	Mean	St. dev.	Mean	St. dev.		
Sand %	83	1.8	85	3.10	0.432	2.262
Clay %	10	1.30	9.60	4.80	0.410	ű
Silt %	6.80	0.98	5.40	1.30	0.610	u
pH (H ₂ O)	6.30	0.20	6.30	0.20	NS	u
pH (CaCl ₂)	5.90	0.30	6.30	0.40	4.04	u
OM %	0.90	0.40	0.40	0.20	30.48	u
TN %	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.08	N.S	u
P (ppm)	95.90	14.90	20.10	7.70	0.450	u
Ca (me/100g)	3.30	1.50	1.97	0.50	1.390	u
Mg (me/100g)	0.80	0.20	0.64	0.30	3.478	u
K (me/100g)	0.20	0.12	0.48	0.83	6.81	u
Na (me/100g)	0.20	0.03	0.20	0.01	N.S	u
H+AÌ (me/100g)	0.80	0.30	0.80	0.20	N.S	u
Cu (ppm)	5.20	1.60	0.50	0.90	41.230	u
Zn (ppm)	0.20	0.50	3.80	0.20	0.40	u
C.E.C	6.80	3.80	4.01	1.50	17.375	u

CONCLUSION

The results obtained in this study are generally in agreement with the following conclusion:

- i. The result of this investigation reveals that farmers' activities positively affect soil properties due to low productivity.
- ii. The soil of the study area has good internal drainage with moderate available nutrients, it doesn't encounter permeability and salinity but sodicity problems.
- iii. From the result of the study it can be concluded that at the farmers site the best level of inorganic fertilizer that enhanced better growth and yield of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) was 0.15kg while at experimental site was 0.10kg.

RECOMMENDATION

The following recommendations are considered appropriate here.

- i. The state and local governments through their appropriate agencies should enlighten the farmers of the study area (Warawa) on the importance of sticking to well-defined timing and quantity of inorganic fertilizer applied to the pepper in order to improve their cultivation.
- ii. In order to maintain soil fertility of the study area, periodic soil analysis should be carried out. This will assist in proportionate application of fertilizer.

References

Adeniji MO, Nwabeke PN, Ihuekwumere F, Udeogalanya ACC(1999). *Agricultural Science*. Evans Brothers (Nigeria publishers) Limited chp. 5:70-73. Aminu A(2006). Price efficiency in tomato marketing in Jigawa State: A co-integration analysis. *Savannah J. Agric*.1 (1):68-71.

Awotunde EF(1981). Irrigation practice: A paper presented at 8th National Seminar Abeokuta September 21st – 25th 1981. Pp.12-13.

Baghour ME Sanchez, Ruiz JM, Romero L(2001). *Metabolism and efficiency of phosphorus utilization during senescence in* Pepper plants: *Response to nitrogenous and potassium fertilization*. J. plant nutrition. 24(11): 1731-1743.

Bajaj KL, Kaur G, Singh J, Brar JS(1979). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on nutritive values of sweet peppers (Capsicum annum) Qualitas plantarum, plant foods for Human nutrition, 28(4):287-292.

Barrow C(1993). Water Resources and Agriculture Development in the tropics (London: Longman).

Blamey FPC, Edwards DG, Asher CJ(1987). Nutritional disorders of sunflower. Department of Agriculture, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, Australia. Pp. 72.

Borashash OV, Kochina TN(1989). The effect of Mineral fertilizers on vegetables. Puti Internsifikasii Onoschevodistva Kiev, Ukcrainian ssr (1987) 12-16 (CF: Hort Abst: 1990. vol.60 (5-Abst.3284. pp.380).

Coltman RR, Riede SA(1992). Monitoring the potassium status of greenhouse tomatoes using quick petiole sap tests. Hortsci. 27(4): 361-364.

Dar-Al-Handasah Consultants (1982). Reconnaisance, Feasibility Study and detailed design of Jakara river irrigation project. Detailed feasibility study appendix A. soil survey supplement (vol.2 M.A. NIR Kano).

Dutta AC(1982). Botany for degree students (Delhi Oxford University Press). Pp.279-342.

Everet PH, Subramanya R(1983). Pepper production as influence by plant spacing and nitrogen-potassium rates. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 96:79-82.

Gill HS, Thakur PC, Thakur TC(1974). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on seed yield of sweet pepper capsicum annum L. the Indian J.Horticulture.31(1):74-78.

Gomez K, Gomez ÀÁ(1984). Statistical procedures for Agricultural research 2nd edition (John Willey and Sons Inc. U.S.A). Pp. 680.

Gunes A, Alpaslan M, Inal A(1998). Critical nutrient concentrations and antagonistic and synergistic relationship among the nutrients of NFT. grown young tomato plants. J.plant nutrition. 21(10): 2035-2040.

He Y, Terabayashi S, Asaka T, Namiki T(1999). Effect of restricted supply of nitrate on fruit growth and nutrient concentrations in the petiole sap of tomato cultured hydroponically. J. plant nutrition. 22(4&5): 799-811.

Hochmuth GJ, Shuler KD, Gilreath PR, Mitchel RLM(1988). Field testing of revised Mehlich – I predicted potassium fertilizer recommendations for mulched pepper. Proc. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 47:30-35.

http://en.wikipedia.org/win fertilizer.

http://www.answers.com/topic/inorganic fertilizer.

Ibrahim MH(1991). Current water Resources policies and the development of irrigation and drainage. Paper presented at the 11th Nation Irrigation and Drainage Seminar, December 1991. Owerri, Nigeria. Pp.3-6

Johnson CD, Decoteau DR(1996). Nitrogen and potassium fertility affects Jalapeno pepper plant growth. Pod yield and pungency. Hort Science 31(7): 1119-1123.

Kabir I(2010). Effect of Irrigation on soil properties in Daberan river valley Katsina State. (Unpublished M.Sc. UniJos). Pp.57-62.

Kari Kari SK, Yayock JY(1987). Organized fertilizer sources in Nigeria proceeding of the national fertilizer seminar held at Port-Harcourt 1987 (pp. 70-82).

Kodiya HM(1988). Effects of irrigation on some soil characteristics in the south Chad project area, Maiduguri (Unpublished M.Sc. BUK).

Komolafe MF, Joy DC (1999). Practical Agricultural Science: (University press Plc Ibadan). Pp.32-36.

Landon, J.R. (1991) (ed) A handbook for soil survey and agricultural evaluation in the tropics and sub-tropic (London: Booker Tale).

National Fertilizer Centre, Annual Report (1987/88) Ibadan (Obasola Commercial Enterprises Ibadan).

O'Hare G(1992). Soils vegetation, ecosystem (Oliver and Boyd).

Osman OA, George RAT (1984). The effect of mineral nutrition and fruit position on seed yield and quality in sweet pepper (Capsicum annum). Acta Horticulture, 143:133-141.

Santiago CL, Goyal MR(1985). Nutrient uptake and solute movement in drip irrigated summer peppers. Journal of Agriculture of University of Puerto Rico. 69(1): 63-68.

Simonne EH, Eakes DJ, Harris CE(1998). Effects of irrigation and nitrogen rates on foliar mineral composition of bell pepper. J.plant nutrition. 21(12): 2545-2555.

Smart CM(1994). Gene expression during leaf senescence. New phytol. 126:419-448.

Tapia ML, Gutierrez V(1997). Distribution of dry weight, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium through tomato ontagenesis. *J. plant nutrition*. 20(6): 783-791.

Ugochkwu OC, Otegbade JO, Okeke EU, Idris SA (2000). Agricultural Science (Ema conprint Ltd.) chp. 5: 40.

Waknade BN, Morey DK(1982). Effect of phosphate and plant densities on growth and yield of chillies (Capsicum annum L.) (Pepper India). The PKV Res. J. 6(1): 23-27.

Zakari SM(2010). Effect of different types and levels of organic Manures on Growth and Yield of Garlic (Allium Sativum L)M.Sc. final Research seminar submitted to the Department of Biological Sciences B.U.K. Pp.3.